KING COUNTY INDUSTRIAL WASTE FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

COMPANY: Glacier NW
INSPECTION DATE & TIME: Aug 35 2005 (2 2 Pm
INVESTIGATORS Barbard Badger
CONTACT PERSON(S): PHONE NO. 206-989-3821 Cul #
COMPANY INFORMATION:
Plant Address: 5975 E. Marziel Way D. Combined or Separated
Days/Hours of operation: 5 Am to 6-7 Pm 5 days/week
Employees: 480 total (not courting drivers)
Sample Site # Description: Confaminated Starm Hoo
Category: Permitted daily flow: 60,000 gpd Process: Dettling post
Self-monitoring parameters: 15. SS flow Permit expiration date: 2005
Almi arauelly reserval
INSPECTION/TYPE/PURPOSE: Scheduled Annual Compliance Inspection
LAST IW ACTIONS (TYPE/DATE): Inspection: da kerewa 5-31-00 Sample:
Violation: Enforcement:
CHANGES SINCE LAST INSPECTION:
relaced Vasdon Sand 5 Grance on Central Ready Muy
PLANT OR PROCESS AREAS INSPECTED:
mice pince Sampling Lacation glad shep
spechtebelor of Departar
OBSERVED DISCHARGES TO KING COUNTY (INCLUDE SITE # AND OBSERVATIONS):
Non-contact cooling water: N/A Refrigeration system:

USEPA SF 1270114

•	
	PRETREATMENT SYSTEM:
	Type:
	When: Settling and pA adjust
	What:
	Where to
	How:
	WASTE PROCESSES:
	Batch:
	Running rinse: Uselange to Sandary
	Condition/operation: Sewer is polernittent.
	Change since last inspection:
	When: is discharged to the
	Where to:
•	How: NOES Sunit from Earlagy.
	SPILL PREVENTION:
•	Condition of berms:
	Drains in chemical areas (opened/closed):
	_
C - 4	ASPP Available: yes . Spil Rite are placed Reviewed? ho aughant the yell (-6). Service spill truck also available
Yar	auchant the hill (16). Service spill truck also available
	SLUG DISCHARGE CONTROL PLAN AVAILABLE? Yes No
	Comments:
	WASTE STORAGE AREA:
	Condition: Lease Comments:
	HAZARDOUS WASTE DRUMS/LABEL/MANIFESTS:
₹ '	WAD# . / . Comments: Le cars 7
` `	Flannesses in fire group califiets. Waste afficiency cares to
	SLUDGE/SOLJDS/WASTÆ/REMOVAL DÍSPOSAL: Yes No
	Comments: Legge must solid. These would solide
de	e sent to Radanco.
	•
	WASTESTREAMS TO SURFACE/GROUNDWATER: Yes X
	No_ Per NPDES permit from Ecolosy
	SOURCE CONTROL: Yes X No
	, ·
	Secondary containment used apprapriately
	PROBLEMS FOR WDOE/OTHER AGENCY REFERRAL: Yes No
	Comments:
alla	tified w/appropriate labelling
1. /	to de allande to labelling
Laen	ageer a appropriate many
Josep -	is contracted in case of serious spell.
	$oldsymbol{v}$

.

		•			
	RECOMMENDED ACTION BY KING COUNTY: Comments:	Yes_	No_1	•	
	SAMPLING/MONITORING INFORMATION:				
	ONSITE LABORATORY:	Yes	No		
	CONTRACT LABORATORY:	Yes	No	·	
	DOE CERTIFIED:	Yes:	No		
	SELF-MONITORING:	_			
	Sample collection (preserved, container)	Chec	ked?		
	Sample results match reported values				
·	Sample type (grab/composite)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	Sample holding time	each we	. The tens		
	Sample frequency as requested	one and	der.		
	Composite sampling method				
	Flow measurements (meter, estimates)				
	pH measurements (meter/recording,/grab/ paper)				
,	pH probe calibration/frequency				
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:				
- Hoo ca - There - - Can tre	is a Lug half up system at up to I mgd if reduced	His site			
- Hydro - Enter + NPD	chlaric said is delivered to expected daily,		Narth Star hall Heter) '	
pince	SITE DIAGRAM				
- 2 usi	" from sleeding free y to Raa.	- 10,000 gal	deciel 10	, ovo cerles.	
Bust	ecced ment either lat	e 2005 or	early 'so	96	
autor	natic fueling system w/do	eily reado	rut '		
- CALT	natic fueline system w/do	april 55	-gelon dre	in o	
- Zep is	used for truck washing?			•	
- Hel to	wash 62 acid song neutra	0:200			
- June	men very much	ic gr.	1 2 cm/h	c)	

Badger, Barbara

From:

Badger, Barbara

Sent:

Tuesday, August 30, 2005 8:41 AM

To:

Girard, Arnaud; 'tanya.treat@seattle.gov'

Cc:

'npettit@glaciernw.com'; 'dherman@glaciernw.com'; Healy, Denise

Subject:

James Harding Gypsum aka BPB Gypsum

Good Morning,

FYI

Last Thursday, 8/25/04 I did a discharge authorization renewal inspection at Glacier NW at 5975 East Marginal Way South. I found absolutely no problem at the Glacier NW facility, in fact, that site would be an ideal example of "how to" for cement/ready mix facilities.

As we were finishing up, we walked the north side of their property along a shared road with the above subject company. It is a drastic difference from one side of the road to the other. While the Glacier side is clean, their process chemical undercover and on secondary containment, swept clean of debris, fugitive product dust and slurry the gypsum side is the opposite. I was told that when their barge comes in and product is unloaded the dust is uncontrolled and is allowed to blow over the property and, obviously, into the Duwamish.

Has anyone checked these folks out?

Barbara Badger, Investigator
King County Industrial Waste
(206) 263-3024/Fax (206) 263-3001
http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wlr/indwaste/index.htm

START INSTANC LEAK TEST TEST BY PROGRAMMED TIME AUG 20. 2005 12:00 AM

TEST LENGTH 4 HOURS

T ::UNLEADED

VOLUME = 3980 CALS

ULLAGE = 2020 CALS

90% ULLAGE = 1420 CALS

TO VOLUME = 3948 CALS

HEIGHT = 59.82 INCHES

GATER VOL = 0 GALS

WATER = 0.00 INCHES

TEMP = 71.2 DEG F

START IN-TANK LEAK TEST TEST BY PROGRAMMED TIME AUG 20. 2005 12:00 AM

TEST LENGTH 4 HOURS

T 2:D(ESEL VOLUME = 3635 GALS ULLAGE = 6365 GALS 90% ULLAGE = 3365 GALS TC VOLUME = 3616 GALS HEIGHT = 37.23 INCHES UATER VOL = 24 GALS GATER = 1.21 INCHES TEMP = 70.4 DEG F

STOP IN-TANK LEAK TEST T 1:UNLEADED AUG 20, 2005 4:00 AM

GLACIER NW 5975 E MARGINAL WAY SEATTLE: WA

AUG 20, 2005 4:00 AM LEAK TEST REPORT T 1:UNLEADED PROBE SERIAL NUM 502212

TEST STARTING TIME: AUG 20. 2005 12:00 AM

TEST LENGTH = 4.0 HRS STRT VOLUME = 3945.7 GAL : LEAK TEST RESULTS 0.20 GAL-UR TEST PASS

STOP IN-THUS LEAK TEST T 2:INTESEL AUG 20. 2005 4:00 AM

GLACTER ON CONTROL OF C